

1
2
3
4
5
6 X CORP.,
7 Plaintiff,
8 v.
9 BRIGHT DATA LTD.,
10 Defendant.

11 Case No. 23-cv-03698-WHA
12
13

**ORDER DENYING PRO HAC VICE
APPLICATION**

14 Re: Dkt. Nos. 24
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

The pro hac vice application of Attorney David Munkittrick Re: Dkt. No. 24 is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Civil Local Rule 11-3. That rule requires an applicant to certify that "he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, specifying such bar" (emphasis added). Filling out the pro hac vice form from the district court website such that it identifies only the state of bar membership — e.g., "the bar of New York" — is inadequate under the rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: October 26, 2023
26
27

28 
WILLIAM ALSUP
United States District Judge